U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/566,807 Attorney Docket No. 13741/11 Reply to Quayle Office Action of August 8, 2007

## **REMARKS**

Claims 43 to 97 are pending. Claims 43 to 80 are allowed, and claims 81 to 97 were objected to because claim 81 is a substantial duplicate of claim 43.

Applicants thank the Examiner for allowing claims 43 to 80.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of this response.

Figures 1 to 5 of the Drawings were objected to because the boxes lacked descriptive legends. Accordingly, accompanying this Amendment are five (5) Replacement Sheets for Figures 1 to 5, which include the suggested descriptive legends. No new matter has been added, and the text is supported by the present application. Approval and entry are respectfully requested.

Claim 81 was objected to as being a substantial duplicate of claim 43. Therefore, claim 81 has been rewritten herein without prejudice to further provide a coil controller (which was previously not directly recited). Approval and entry are therefore respectfully requested, as is allowance of claim 81 and its dependent claims 82 to 97.

Accordingly, claims 81 to 97 are allowable -- like allowed claims 43 to 80, so that the present application is in condition for allowance.

## Conclusion

It is therefore respectfully submitted that claims 81 to 97 are allowable -- like allowed claims 43 to 80. It is therefore respectfully requested that the objections be withdrawn, since all issues raised have been addressed and obviated. An early and favorable action on the merits is therefore respectfully requested.

Bv

Datad

Respectfully submitted,

Gerard A. Messina

Reg. No. 35,952

KENYON & KENYON LLP

One Broadway

New York, New York 10004

(212) 425-7200

**CUSTOMER NO. 26646**